UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,918	10/13/2004	Jeffrey A. Tarvin	101.0166	5917
	7590 05/20/200 GER TECHNOLOGY	EXAMINER		
14910 AIRLIN	E ROAD	DITRANI, ANGELA M		
ROSHARON, TX 77583			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/711,918	TARVIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Angela M. DiTrani	3676	

		7 tingela W. Birram	0070
<i>Tf</i>	ne MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY F	FILED <u>06 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
applicati applicati	y was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on on, applicant must timely file one of the following on in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe inued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🔲 The	period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
no e Exar	period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A vent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la miner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of till have been filed under 37 CFR 1 set forth in (b) a	NTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(me may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sbove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origiten than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	ice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the	Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended for Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) <u>□</u> ⊤l	posed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, liney raise new issues that would require further coney raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) ☐ TI a _l	ney are not deemed to place the application in betopeal; and/or ney present additional claims without canceling a	tter form for appeal by materially red	
	IOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		soled claims.
	endments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	nt's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
6. Newly p	proposed or amended claim(s) would be alwable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	
how the The stat Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s)	poses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) new or amended claims would be rejected is provus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: allowed: objected to: rejected: withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
	R OTHER EVIDENCE		
because	davit or other evidence filed after a final action, but applicant failed to provide a showing of good and earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entered	davit or other evidence filed after the date of filing because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	idavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
	quest for reconsideration has been considered bu ontinuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12.	e attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Jennifer H Supervisory	Gay/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3676		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The limitation of "automatically determining...by processing the temperature profile data" is disclosed within the cited prior art insofar as because, as seen in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, the temperature profile is processed to generate the observed plots, from which, one of ordinary skill in the art can "determine" valuable information related to the flow of fluid relative to tubing. Although, as pointed out by applicant, SPE/IADC refers to "raw data," in creating the temperature profiles seen in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, the data can be considered to be processed in order to generate the temperature profile.